## THE INSTRUCTOR



"All Scripture is given by inspiration of God and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness." (2 Timothy 3:16)

Volume 35

MAY, 1998

NUMBER 5

### CAN SINGLE MEN SCRIPTURALLY SERVE AS ELDERS?

Through the years a few men among us have advocated the idea that an unmarried man (a bachelor, a widower or one who has Scripturally divorced his wife) may serve as an elder (i.e., bishop, pastor, presbyter, overseer or shepherd) in a local church. NOTE: A close study of the New Testament will indicate that these six words (elders, bishops, pastors, presbyters, overseers, shepherds) describe the same group of men from different viewpoints. From the standpoint of age they are presbyters; elders or from standpoint of oversight they are bishops or overseers and from the standpoint of their work they are shepherds or pastors.

The question we are considering is: Can single men Scripturally serve as elders? To answer the question we must not appeal to either traditions, preferences or opinions of men, but our appeal must be to the Scriptures! Please carefully and honestly consider the following.

1. There is NO PRECEPT (either command or statement) that authorizes an unmarried man to serve as *an elder* (bishop) in a local church!

- 2. There is NO SCRIPTURAL EXAMPLE where an unmarried man ever served or should serve or may serve as *an elder* (bishop) in a local church!
- 3. There is NO NECESSARY IMPLICATION where an unmarried man ever served or should serve or may serve as *an elder* (bishop) in a local church!
- 4. In Titus 1:5-9 the apostle Paul (in writing to Titus) said: "For this cause left I thee in Crete, that thou shouldest set in order the things that are wanting, and ordain elders in every city, as I had appointed thee: If any be blameless, husband of one wife, having faithful children, not accused of riot or unruly. For a bishop must blameless... NOTE: Being the husband of one wife is a qualification of being ordained as an elder, but it is only one of the qualifications a man must meet in order to be a bishop (elder) in a local church!
- 5. In 1 Timothy 3:2-7 (in writing to Timothy) the apostle Paul said: "A bishop then must be blameless, the husband of one wife, vigilant, sober,... one that ruleth well his

(Continued on page 4)

### THE INSTRUCTOR

(usps # 581680)

Published monthly in the interest of truth and righteousness by the congregation of Christ, meeting at 4777 U.S. Highway 431, Albertville, Alabama.

### CARROL RAY SUTTON Editor

Send all questions, comments and criticisms to the **Editor**, 4777 U.S. Highway 431, Albertville, AL 35950.

Second-class postage paid in Albertville, AL 35950.

# Editorial... MUST WE KEEP THE SABBATH TODAY? (No. 12)

In our past studies we have shown from the Scriptures that the law that required the children of Israel to keep the sabbath day holy was provisional and temporary. There is no Scriptural proof that the LORD ever intended for Christians to keep the law that He gave to the Israelites after they came out of Egypt. The sabbath day was given to a specific people for specified reasons. When that law had fulfilled its purpose it ceased to be binding. When the law that required sabbath keeping was fulfilled, it was no longer in force! (Cf. Jer. 31:31-34; Hebrews 8:6-13; 7:11-19; 9:16-17; 10:9-10; Romans 7:1-7; 2 Cor. 3:6-17; Col. 2:14-17; Gal. 3:23-27; Col. 2:14-17; etc.

In our last five studies we have been examining some arguments made by "sabbath keepers" in an effort to show that sabbath keeping is **binding** on Christians today. We have shown that Scriptural *proof* is lacking. Let us continue our study.

### ARGUMENTS FOR SABBATH KEEPING CONSIDERED

21. "HEBREWS 4:9 TEACHES THAT CHRISTIANS SHOULD KEEP THE SEVENTH DAY SABBATH HOLY BECAUSE IT SAYS: 'There remaineth therefore a rest to the people of God.'"

#### REPLY:

- (1) Sabbatarians assume and assert the desired conclusion without showing proof that substantiates it!
- (2) Hebrews 4:1-11says: "Let us therefore fear, lest, a promise being left us of entering into his rest, any of you should seem to come short of it.

For unto us was the gospel preached, as well as unto them; but the word preached did not profit them, not being mixed with faith in them that heard it.

For we which have believed do enter into rest, as he said, As I have sworn in my wrath, if they shall enter into my rest: although the works were finished from the foundation of the world.

For he spake in a certain place of the seventh day on this wise, And God did rest the seventh day from all his works.

And in this place again, If they shall enter into my rest.

Seeing therefore it remaineth that some must enter therein, and they to whom it was first preached entered not in because of unbelief:

Again, he limiteth a certain day, saying in David, Today, after so long a time; as it is said, Today if ye will hear his voice, harden not your hearts.

For if Jesus ['Joshua' acc. to footnote-CRS] had given them rest, then would he not afterward have spoken of another day.

There remaineth therefore a rest to the people of God.

For he that is entered into his rest, he also hath cease from his own works, as God did from his.

Let us labour therefore to enter into that rest, lest any man fall after the same example of unbelief." NOTE: In the above verses we have the *context* of the statement made in verse 9.

- (3) Please observe that the *text* (4:9) says "a rest" remains to the people of God. It does not say "the sabbath" is to be kept holy by the people of God. A vast difference in the two statements!
- (4) The ASV says "a sabbath rest" remains for the people of God> It does not say "the sabbath rest" is to be kept holy by the people of God! A vast difference in the two statements!
- (5) The word sabbath in the New Testament (KJV) always comes from sabbaton whereas the word rest in Heb. 4:9 comes from sabbatismos and it appears only this one time! It is different word. According STRONG'S GREEK DICTIONARY the word sabbatismos is from a der. of sabbaton. NOTE: Sabbatismos is not from sabbaton but is from a derivative of sabbaton. They are different words. Sabbaton is neuter sabbatismos gender whereas is masculine gender!
- (6) We learn from Heb. 3:7-19 that the Israelites failed to enter into God's rest because of their unbelief. The rest they failed to enter into was the promised land, Canaan. NOTE: They were keeping the sabbath day holy but they did not enter into God's rest. It necessarily follows that God's rest in these verses is not the keeping of the seventh day sabbath although God did rest on the seventh day!

- (7) Verse 11 says "Let us therefore labour to enter into that rest, lest any man fall after the same example of unbelief." The rest that remains for the people of God is something that we can fail to enter. NOTE: In this context this could not have reference to the keeping the seventh day sabbath holy because one does not have to fear and labour in order to keep the sabbath holy! One had to cease from working in order to keep the seventh day sabbath.
- (8) If the Hebrew Christians were keeping the sabbath day holy as Sabbatarians contend, that is *proof* sufficient to show that "a rest" that remains for the people of God is not remembering the sabbath day and keeping it holy.
- (9) No doubt, contextually "a rest" that remains to the people of God is not "the sabbath" that the children of Israel were told to "remember" and to "keep it holy." (Exodus 20:8). "A rest" that remains to the people of God refers to "a day of eternal rest"! It is not a 24-hour day! Unless we fear and labour to enter "into his[God's-CRS]rest " we may fail to enter just as those Israelites failed to enter Canaan.
- (10) In Rev. 14:13 we read:"...
  Blessed are the dead which die in the Lord from henceforth: Yea, saith the Spirit, that they may rest from their labours; and their works do follow them." NOTE: When a person dies in the Lord he ceases his labours and enters into a period of rest.
- No, Heb.4:9 does not prove that Christians should keep the sabbath day holy as the Israelites did. However, in its context it does teach that there is "a rest" that remains for the people of God! (To be continued)

(Continued from page 1)

CAN SINGLE MEN SCRIPTURALLY

SERVE AS ELDERS?

own house, having his children in subjection with gravity..." all NOTE: This settle should question for all truth seeking people. Please observe the fact that the apostle said, "A bishop then must be...the husband of one wife..." Without doubt, to serve as a bishop a man must have a wife! To have a wife a person must be a married man! A single man does not have a wife. Therefore a single man can not Scripturally serve as an elder (bishop)!

6. In 1 Peter 5:1 the apostle PETER refers to himself as "an elder". He said: "The elders which are among you I exhort, who am

also an elder..." Peter was an elder! Was Peter a single man? No! We learn from Matthew 8:14 that Peter was a married man! It says, "And when Jesus was come into Peter's house, he saw his wife's mother laid, and sick of a fever." NOTE: Jesus saw Peter's wife's mother. Since Peter had a wife we know of a surety that he was a married man.

No, single men cannot Scripturally serve as elders (bishops)! Let each of us accept God's Word in all matters. It will be the standard by which we will be judged in the last day. (CRS)

### GOSPEL MEETINGS

PISGAH, WEST CHESTER, OHIO---June 7-12, 1998---Carrol R. Sutton EAST ALBERTVILLE---June 21-26, 1998--Charles G. Maples

### SENTENCE SERMONS

If you are not living with God here, you will not be with God there. (Bill Smith)

Before trying to improve others, we should first improve ourselves.

If you can't believe all you hear, you should at least be able to believe all you say.

The best time to do something is between yesterday and tomorrow.

If we would learn through our mistakes we would have a much broader education.

CHURCH OF CHRIST 4777 U.S. Highway 431

Albertville, Alabama 35950

Second-Class Postage